

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/721,337	HORI, HIDEHIKO	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Rochelle Blackman	2851	

**All Participants:**

(1) Rochelle Blackman.

(2) Jason Rhodes.

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 12/8/05 & 12/12/05

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*102(e) rejection by Childer et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0057017)*

**Claims discussed:**

*11 and 12*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*Childer et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0057017)*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant's Representative on December 8, 2005 to inform Applicant's Representative the amendment filed December 2, 2005 did not place the application in condition for allowance, but if the limitations of claims claim 12 were incorporated into claim 11, it place the application in condition of for allowance. Applicant's Representative then contacted the Examiner on December 12, 2005 to give authorization for an examiner's amendment to incorporate the limitations of claim 12 into claim 11.